FROM THEORY TO IMPACT: NEW VISIONS ACROSS DISCIPLINES

FIRST EDITION
2025

Editor-in-Chief
Daniel James

ASDF

ISBN 978-81-951337-7-2
9 788195 133772

ASDF UK

From Theory to Impact: New Visions Across Disciplines 2025

FTI 2025

FIRST EDITION 2025

From Theory to Impact: New Visions Across Disciplines 2025

FIRST EDITION FTI 2025

By

ASDF, UK

Financially Sponsored By

Association of Scientists, Developers and Faculties, India

Editor-in-Chief

Daniel James

Editors:

Anbuoli Parthasarathy and Katsuo Shichirou

Published by

Association of Scientists, Developers and Faculties
Address: 483 Green Lanes, London N13 4BS. England. United Kingdom.
Email: admin@asdf.res.in || www.asdf.international

From Theory to Impact: New Visions Across Disciplines 2025 (FTI 2025)

First Edition

Editor-in-Chief: **Daniel James**
Editors: **Anbuoli Parthasarathy and Katsuo Shichirou**

Cover Design: **Saravanan Velayudham**

Disclaimer:

No responsibility is assumed by the FTI 2025 Publisher for any injury and/ or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products or ideas contained in the material herein. Contents, used in the articles and how it is submitted and approved by the contributors after changes in the formatting. Whilst every attempt made to ensure that all aspects of the article are uniform in style, the FTI 2025 Publisher or the Editor(s) will not be responsible whatsoever for the accuracy, correctness or representation of any statements or documents presented in the articles.

ISBN-13: 978-81-951337-7-2
ISBN-10: 81-951337-7-0

Table of Contents

CARBON FARMING AND THE GREEN ECONOMY: EMERGING INCENTIVES AND TRADE-OFFS

POONGODI P

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Lady Doak College, Madurai.

ABSTRACT

This chapter takes a closer look at how carbon farming practices fit into the growing green economy. It dives into various aspects like economic incentives, policy frameworks, trade-offs, and what long-term sustainability really means. As climate change becomes a bigger issue and global food systems face increasing scrutiny, carbon farming is starting to shine as a viable option for cutting down greenhouse gas emissions while also creating new economic opportunities for farmers. The discussion includes an analysis of carbon credit markets, payment for ecosystem services (PES), and how international frameworks can bolster carbon sequestration efforts in agriculture. It doesn't shy away from addressing the challenges of implementation, concerns about fairness, and any unintended side effects. The chapter also weaves in ideas from ecological economics, the circular economy, and climate-smart agriculture to frame the potential and the challenges of carbon farming in reshaping agroecosystems.

Keywords*:* Carbon Farming, Green Economy, Carbon Credit Markets, Payment for Ecosystem Services, Climate-Smart Agriculture

1. INTRODUCTION

The growing urgency to tackle climate change is driving a worldwide shift towards strategies that focus on low-carbon development. Interestingly, the agricultural sector, which is a major contributor to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, also has significant potential for capturing carbon. What we refer to as "carbon farming" includes a variety of land management and agricultural techniques aimed at trapping and storing carbon from the atmosphere in both soil and plants. This not only aids in fighting climate change but also offers considerable economic benefits for rural communities. By positioning itself at the crossroads of climate resilience, sustainable land practices, and new environmental markets, carbon farming is increasingly being seen as a key element in the transition to a green economy.

The foundation of carbon farming is rooted in ecological economics, which highlights the importance of natural resources and environmental services in terms of economic value. By sequestering carbon, farms transform into not just sites for food production, but also important players in stabilizing our climate. Global climate policies, especially those developed under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), are starting to formally acknowledge this vital role. So, the discussion around carbon farming bridges the fields of agronomy, economics, and climate science, making it a topic that requires interdisciplinary collaboration. Furthermore, it aligns with the broader concept of a circular economy, encouraging systems that recycle nutrients and minimize the need for outside inputs.

2. THE CONCEPT AND SCOPE OF CARBON FARMING

Carbon farming is all about using smart land management to boost the carbon stored in the ground and plants. we're talking about stuff like planting trees with crops, farming without plowing, using plants to cover the soil, adding charcoal to the ground, rotating livestock, and better manure management. these techniques do more than just boost the carbon in the soil and cut down on harmful gases; they also help our climate by making the soil better, holding more water, and supporting a wider range of life. how well these methods work at trapping carbon really depends on where you are, like the weather, the kind of dirt, and how the farms are run. But still, it looks like if we start using carbon farming more, farmers could actually help cut down a decent chunk of the CO2 we're pumping into the air every year.

Agroforestry is like mixing trees with farming or grazing areas, which is cool because it helps with growing more wood, making the environment better, and stopping soil from washing away. using less-intensive farming methods keeps the soil mostly undisturbed, which helps keep the carbon in the ground, and adding charcoal to the soil can trap carbon for a really long time. these carbon farming methods show how flexible they can be, but it's super important to do some local digging and tailor-make the support services to fit each unique situation for the best results. Plus, the whole idea of climate-smart farming—which is all about boosting yields, getting ready for climate change, and cutting down on emissions—is super tight with the bigger picture of sustainable development.

3. ECONOMIC INCENTIVES AND MARKET MECHANISMS

3.1 Carbon Credit Markets

Carbon credit markets enable farmers to put a value on the carbon they store by creating and selling tradable credits. They consist of compliance markets (e.g., the EU Emissions Trading System) and voluntary markets (e.g., Verra and Gold Standard), both of which have started incorporating agricultural offsets. Although such progress has been made, much still lies in the way of reliable measurement, guaranteeing long-term stability of carbon stored, and ensuring additionality—namely, whether the sequestration would not have otherwise taken place without the market incentive.

The voluntary market has expanded considerably, presenting opportunities for small-scale and community-level projects. Nevertheless, absence of harmonized standards and fragmentation in the market can erode credibility. A number of new platforms now employ satellite-based monitoring and digital MRV systems to counter concerns of transparency. Environmental finance and impact investing are becoming increasingly recognized concepts in this arena, enlisting private capital in flows towards nature-based solutions.

3.2 Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES)

PES programs provide money to landowners and farmers in return for continuing or enhancing ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration. They acknowledge the environmental significance of sustainable land use and aim to internalize the ecological advantages that normally go unnoticed in standard markets. Key examples include Australia's Emissions Reduction Fund, which pays landholders for verified emission reductions, and California's Healthy Soils Program, which supports activities that add carbon to the soil, enhance water holding, and enhance biodiversity.

In Latin America, Costa Rica's national PES scheme is frequently quoted for its success in equating reforestation and watershed protection with rural livelihoods. In Africa, analogous programs are under consideration for restoring dryland ecosystems, although the financial aspect still poses a significant obstacle.

3.3 Government and NGO Support

Governments and NGOs have been important in encouraging carbon-compatible agriculture through subsidies, capacity-building, and demonstration schemes. These interventions focus on lowering the barriers to adoption for farmers, especially in poor and resource-poor areas. However, ensuring financial sustainability of such initiatives in the long term and attaining broad-based scaling are major challenges. Several initiatives depend much on pilot-based projects or short-term donor support, which may not convert into systemic or scalable transformation without strong institutional frameworks and supportive policy in place.

Public-private partnerships are increasingly viewed as a model of the future, combining finance, technical assistance, and policy advice from many different sectors. Some examples include the World Bank's BioCarbon Fund and the African Development Bank's Climate Smart Agriculture initiatives.

4. TRADE-OFFS AND CHALLENGES

4.1 Measurement and Verification

Quantifying how much carbon is stored in agricultural systems with high accuracy requires advanced tools, baseline measurements, and extended monitoring periods, which are technologically challenging and expensive. This presents a huge trade-off between maintaining high accuracy and cost-effectiveness, particularly for smallholders in developing nations who may lack access to cheap measurement technologies, technical expertise, and institutional support systems.

The emergence of easy-to-use smartphone applications, sensor-enabled soil analysis, and AI-enabled yield estimations is presenting new opportunities to overcome such limitations. Their affordability and accessibility, however, continue to be questioned.

4.2 Equity and Access

Access to carbon markets and incentive programs also disproportionately benefits large-scale landowners and commercial agricultural businesses, who are better positioned to manage complicated regulatory protocols and cover participation fees. Smallholders and marginalized groups, by contrast, frequently suffer from considerable exclusion attributable to a mixture of high entry costs, restricted access to credible information, low digital literacy, and underdeveloped institutional infrastructure. Such differences threaten to perpetuate current inequalities unless specific interventions are established to ensure inclusivity and just participation.

Social protection and specialized financing tools like microcredit and group certification schemes are arising to decrease these disparities.

4.3 Food vs. Carbon Dilemma

With carbon sequestration increasingly becoming a high-profile target in land management, there is a danger that land-use planning may become skewed toward carbon storage at the expense of food production. Such a compromise is especially worrying in areas with undernourishment, where shifting arable lands to techniques such as afforestation or long-fallow rotations can undermine local food supplies, worsen undernourishment, and add to reliance on imported food. Squaring climate objectives with the imperatives of food security is a still-hugely challenging task for carbon farming policy.

Agroecological zoning, multi-objective land use planning, and integrated food-energy-carbon plans are increasingly employed to address such conflicts.

4.4 Environmental Integrity

All carbon farming practices do not provide comprehensive benefits; for example, afforestation by non-native or monoculture plant species may result in ecological degradation, water scarcity, and native biodiversity loss. For the purpose of ensuring that carbon sequestration activities are both environmentally friendly and socially acceptable, it is important to accord top priority to practices that provide several co-benefits—such as biodiversity enhancement, water conservation, and local ecosystem support—thus enhancing long-term ecological sustainability.

Certification schemes that take biodiversity, water, and community health into consideration (such as Plan Vivo, Gold Standard for the Global Goals) are imperative for ensuring environmental integrity.

5. POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS

5.1 International Agreements

International climate agreements like the Paris Accord and initiatives like the "4 per 1000" initiative during COP21 highlight the central role of soil carbon in meeting global climate goals. These agreements promote countries to adopt agricultural systems that maximize carbon sequestration and soil health. As such, more and more nations are incorporating soil carbon objectives into their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), a reflection of mounting policy recognition within global policy circles of agriculture's climate solution potential.

The UNFCCC's Koronivia Joint Work on Agriculture further validates agricultural adaptation and mitigation action. Global financing institutions like the Green Climate Fund (GCF) are starting to make agricultural soil carbon a portfolio priority.

5.2 National Policies

Australia, the US, and India, for instance, have integrated soil carbon improvement measures into their wider climate and agricultural policy agendas. Such measures involve incentive schemes, research spending, and legislation support to encourage soil health and carbon storage. Most importantly, linking climate objectives with farm productivity and economic measures—carbon pricing, green finance, and rural development initiatives—has enhanced the impact and scalability of these country efforts.

India's National Mission on Sustainable Agriculture, the USDA Climate-Smart Agriculture and Forestry Strategy, and Australia's Carbon Farming Initiative demonstrate the range of national commitments, as each responds to domestic priorities and capacities.

5.3 Role of Research and Innovation

Technological innovations like high-resolution remote sensing, machine learning algorithms, and blockchain registries are revolutionizing carbon monitoring and traceability by improving accuracy, transparency, and real-time validation. These technologies support scalable and cost-efficient monitoring, especially when combined with digital farm records and satellite images. Multilateral collaboration between research centers, agritech startups, and government agencies is crucial in developing and rolling out these sophisticated systems across various agroecological environments.

Open-source platforms and innovation hubs led by farmers can facilitate grassroots adoption of these tools, making the innovation inclusive and scalable. Ideas like the digital commons and agroecological transition are being researched to democratize access to innovations.

6. CASE STUDIES

6.1 Australia's Carbon Farming Initiative

Australia's Carbon Farming Initiative is a prime instance of institutionaled carbon farming policy. It provides a rigorous and science-reviewed method for measuring emission reduction, along with economic rewards for farmers based on validated sequestration results. By being linked to the Emissions Reduction Fund, the initiative facilitates the provision and trade of certified carbon credits on an Australian registry, and thus transparency and market trust are guaranteed.

6.2 India's Soil Health Card and Carbon Sequestration Projects

While not initially conceived as a carbon market program, India's Soil Health Card Scheme encourages agronomic management—e.g., balanced fertilization and the use of organic amendments—consistent with soil organic carbon (SOC) improvement. Hopping on this bandwagon, a range of pilot projects aided by foreign donors and research institutions is currently piloting the incorporation of soil carbon enhancement into market-based schemes, setting the stage for potential carbon farming programs in the nation in the future.

6.3 African Smallholder Agroforestry Projects

In Malawi and Kenya, a number of NGO-initiated projects have made successful ventures into agroforestry-based carbon farming schemes that produce carbon credits. Such credits are validated under voluntary carbon standards and traded on global markets. Returns are re-invested in local development programs, such as enhancing school infrastructure, increasing access to clean water, and strengthening community-based food security systems, which provides a model of carbon finance that achieves real co-benefits for rural communities.

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Carbon farming has revolutionary potential in reconciling agriculture with international climate targets. In order to realize its productive role towards a sustainable and equitable green economy, there is a need to tackle the socio-economic and environmental aspects integrally. This involves giving robust priority to inclusive access, measurable results, and long-term environmental resilience. The following strategic suggestions are crucial:

- Enhance MRV systems with open-access tools for smallholders
- Build inclusive market frameworks
- Encourage co-benefit certification (biodiversity, water, livelihoods)
- Adopt carbon farming within national agricultural and climate plans
- Increase public-private partnership and institutional support arrangements
- Enable digital and low-cost innovations for monitoring

By successfully navigating the unavoidable trade-offs and encouraging evidence-based, scalable best practices, carbon farming can transition from localized pilot programs to a central element of national and global climate policy, further establishing itself as a critical economic tool for reaching global climate and sustainability goals.

REFERENCES

1. Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2017). *Agroecology: A brief account of its origins and currents of thought in Latin America*. Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems, 41(3-4), 231–237. https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2017.1283275
2. Bernoux, M., & Paustian, K. (2019). *Soil carbon sequestration for climate change mitigation and adaptation*. In M.V.K. Sivakumar (Ed.), *Climate Change and Food Security in South Asia* (pp. 67–81). Springer.
3. Carolan, M. (2020). *Carbon farming: Exploring the tensions between co-optation and resistance*. Journal of Peasant Studies, 47(2), 403–423. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2019.1612871
4. FAO. (2021). *Climate-smart agriculture sourcebook* (2nd ed.). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://www.fao.org/3/i3325e/i3325e.pdf
5. Gold Standard. (2022). *Safeguarding principles and requirements*. https://www.goldstandard.org
6. Lal, R. (2020). *Carbon farming: An overview*. In R. Lal (Ed.), *Soil and Climate* (pp. 1–18). CRC Press.
7. Mbow, C., Rosenzweig, C., Barioni, L. G., Benton, T. G., Herrero, M., Krishnapillai, M., … Tubiello, F. (2019). *Food security*. In P. R. Shukla et al. (Eds.), *IPCC Special Report on Climate Change and Land* (pp. 437–550). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
8. Minasny, B., Malone, B. P., McBratney, A. B., et al. (2017). *Soil carbon 4 per mille*. Geoderma, 292, 59–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.01.002
9. Pretty, J., Toulmin, C., & Williams, S. (2011). *Sustainable intensification in African agriculture*. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 9(1), 5–24. https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2010.0583
10. Smith, P., Adams, J., Beerling, D. J., et al. (2019). *Impacts of land-based greenhouse gas removal options on ecosystem services and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals*. Global Change Biology, 25(11), 3753–3769. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14889
11. UNFCCC. (2023). *Koronivia Joint Work on Agriculture*. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. https://unfccc.int/topics/land-use/workstreams/agriculture
12. Verra. (2022). *Verified Carbon Standard Program*. https://verra.org/project/vcs-program/
13. World Bank. (2020). *Transforming Agricultural Innovation for Climate, Health and Food Security*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture/brief/climate-smart-agriculture

ASSOCIATION OF SCIENTISTS, DEVELOPERS AND FACULTIES
483 GREEN LANES, LONDON N13 4BS
INDIA | THAILAND | SOUTH KOREA | UNITED KINGDOM
+44 20 81445548 | ASDF@ASDF.INTERNATIONAL | WWW.ASDF.INTERNATIONAL

£ 99
ISBN 978-81-951337-7-2
9 788195 133772